	Case 1:24-cv-00252-KES-EPG Docume	nt 20 Filed 12/13/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	DEWAYNE THOMPSON,	Case No. 1:24-cv-00252-KES-EPG (PC)
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S EX PARTE
12	v.	MOTION TO DISREGARD NON-PARTY FILING AND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE
13	LOPEZ, et al.,	IMPOSED ON NON-PARTY FOR ABUSIVE LITIGATION
14	Defendants.	(ECF No. 19)
15		(ECI 110. 17)
16		
17	Plaintiff DeWayne Thompson is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis	
18	in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
19	Before the Court is Plaintiff's ex parte motion to disregard a non-party filing and order to	
20	show cause why sanctions should not be imposed on a non-party for abusive litigation. (ECF No.	
21	19). Plaintiff's motion states as follows:	
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>	Plaintiff, in pro se, on December 4, 2024, received notice from this court of pleadings and correspondence to this court by Durrell Anthony Puckett (G05549) who is apparently by California Department and Corrections Rehabilitation (CDCR) identification number is an inmate within the CDCR system and of whom is impersonating Plaintiff by filing fraudulent amended pleadings and contract negotiations in above entitled case. For which, Plaintiff moves this Court to disregard any filings in this case by non parties unless authorized and stipulated to by Plaintiff in accordance to appropriate law. Furthermore, Plaintiff moves this Court to exercise its inherent powers to impose sanctions on Durrell Anthony Puckett, CDCR no. G05549, for abusive litigation (see Corder v. Howard Johnson	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	<u>&amp; Co. 53 F.3d</u> 225, 232 (9th Cir. 1994), <u>In re Rainbow Magazine</u> , Inc. 77 F.3d 278, 287 (9th Cir. 1996), <u>Hummell V.E. RK Off &amp; Co</u> , 634 F.2d 446, 452-53 (9th	
-	1	

	Case 1:24-cv-00252-KES-EPG Document 20 Filed 12/13/24 Page 2 of 2	
1	Cir. 1980).	
2	( <i>Id.</i> at 1). On November 25, 2024, the Court received a filing by Durrell Anthony Puckett on	
3	behalf of Plaintiff, which was rejected. Accordingly, the Court has already disregarded the filing	
4	that was improperly submitted to the Court.	
5	Plaintiff's motion will therefore be DENIED as moot.	
6		
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
8	Dated: December 13, 2024 /s/ Encir P. Strong	
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		